A MESSAGE FROM THE CHANCELLOR

Now in our 11th year of YCCD Measure E Bond activity, once again, we offer our sincere appreciation to you for your support—partnership with our communities, individuals and businesses alike, is invaluable. As you look through the pages of this annual report and take note of the many projects that have been completed, or are planned for completion in the near future, please know that these buildings and facilities projects allow for necessary enhancement to educational opportunities in our service areas.

Modesto Junior College, Columbia College, and the Central Services Offices that support the colleges, are valuable community resources. The colleges offer relevant programs and services to help students prepare for transfer and address workforce needs through career technical education and basic skills instruction. And, over the last decade, Measure E Bond dollars have touched each of these areas. Examples of which are the new, state of the art science buildings at each college, as well as the Redbud Building at Columbia College and Glacier Hall at Modesto Junior College. Redbud and Glacier Hall make it possible to offer nursing program classes via video-conference between the colleges, which results in a greater availability of nursing education for students in remote sites, cost reduction in transportation and child care, and more nurses for the region.

In the coming year, we will turn our attention to infrastructure and operations projects. Simply stated, safety and access must be a priority. Thus, a traffic signal will be installed at Blue Gum Avenue and Prichard greatly improving safety for those traveling to and near the MJC West Campus. Other specific roadways, pathways, and parking projects are planned for each campus that will provide better access and increased safe passage. We will replace the circa 1940s buildings currently housing the YCCD Central Services Offices that support the operations of the colleges. And, as we continue to move forward, please see the FAQ in this annual report for a status update on off-campus sites and how we are serving students in the outreach areas identified in the Bond. Also in 2015, other projects will come to completion and we invite you to join us for grand opening ceremonies and share in the celebrations.

The buildings and facilities projects brought to us by Measure E Bond funds are an investment in the programs and services needed by our students who, in large part, will determine the future economic success and vitality of the communities we serve. On behalf of the YCCD Board of Trustees, I thank you for your continued support of our students and colleges.

Dr. Joan E. Smith, Chancellor
Yosemite Community College District

A MESSAGE FROM THE CBOC CHAIR

Measure E Bond projects on YCCD’s three campuses continue to wind down and, looking back, the combined implementation has been extremely positive thus far. The Student Center has been completed at MJC and the Citizens’ Bond Oversight Committee (CBOC) is encouraged by YCCD’s continued efforts to identify and reallocate residual funds toward smaller projects targeting structural improvements and problematic infrastructure, such as roads, bicycle paths, sidewalks, and maintenance upgrades. Still to be completed are the new district office at MJC West and the remodeling of the Manzanita building, currently in progress at the Columbia campus.

The legal charge of the CBOC is to ensure Measure E funding is spent in line with the provisos set by the original Bond Measure as approved by the voters within YCCD while also conforming to the requirements of Article XIII A, Section 1(b) (3) of the California Constitution. To this end, through our quarterly meetings with YCCD staff and Project Management, coupled with periodic tours of the various construction sites, the CBOC can instill public confidence in knowing that Measure E funds are being spent appropriately.

The CBOC has added new members to the committee. We believe that changing the makeup of the CBOC from time to time, along with the new audit firm of Crowe Horwath, brings “fresh eyes” to the oversight effort to further assure trust among the voters of the District.

Jeff Phillips, Chair
Citizens’ Bond Oversight Committee
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INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT (JULY 1, 2012 - JUNE 30, 2013)

Matson and Isom, Certified Public Accountants, in the Independent Auditor’s Report received by the YCCD Board of Trustees on December 11, 2013, examined the District’s compliance with the performance requirements for the Proposition 39 and Measure E General Obligation Bonds for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2013. Based on the procedures performed, they found that YCCD had properly accounted for the proceeds and expenditures of Proposition 39 and Measure E General Obligation Funds. A link to the audit reports and financial statements for the YCCD Measure E program, prepared using the guidelines required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) can be found by clicking: http://www.yosemite.edu/fiscalservices/auditreports.htm

FINANCIAL AUDIT

"We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the Measure E Bond Funds (the Measure) of Yosemite Community College District (the District) as of and for the year ended June 30, 2013, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the Measure’s basic financial statements as listed in the table of contents. The District’s management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditors’ judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the District’s preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the District’s internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements. We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinion. In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Measure as of June 30, 2013, and the changes in financial position and cash flows thereof for the year then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

As discussed in note 1, the financial statements present only the Measure and do not purport to, and do not, present fairly the financial position of the District as of June 30, 2013, the changes in its financial position, or, where applicable, its cash flows for the year then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Our opinion is not modified with respect to this matter. As discussed in note 8, the District adopted new accounting guidance, GASB Statement No. 62, Codification of Accounting and Financial Reporting Guidance Contained in Pre-November 30, 1989 FASB and AICPA Pronouncements. The beginning net position was increased by $28,144,336 as a result of capitalizing interest costs of bond projects that were previously expensed. Our opinion is not modified with respect to this matter. The District also adopted GASB Statement No. 65, Items Previously Reported as Assets and Liabilities. The beginning net position was decreased by $4,734,965 as a result of expensing the costs associated with the issuance of bonds. Our opinion is not modified with respect to this matter.

Management has omitted management’s discussion that accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require to be presented to supplement the basic financial statements. Such missing information, although not a part of the basic financial statements, is required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board who considers it to be an essential part of financial reporting for placing the basic financial statements in an appropriate operational, economic, or historical context. Our opinion on the basic financial statements is not affected by this missing information.

In accordance with Government Auditing Standards, we have also issued our report dated November 20, 2013, on our consideration of the District’s internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, grant agreements, and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance, and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with Government Auditing Standards in considering the District’s internal control over financial reporting and compliance."

November 20, 2013
Matson and Isom, Certified Public Accountants

PERFORMANCE AUDIT

"We have audited the Yosemite Community College District’s (the District) compliance with the performance requirements for Proposition 39 and Measure E General Obligation Bonds (the Bonds) applicable to the District for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2013. Management is responsible for the District’s compliance with those requirements. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the District’s compliance based on our audit.

We conducted this performance audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to performance audits contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the performance requirements for Proposition 39 and the Bond that could have a material effect on compliance occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the District’s compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we consider necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion. Our performance audit does not provide a legal determination on the District’s compliance with the specific requirements. In our opinion, the District complied, in all material respects, with the performance requirements referred to above that are applicable to the District for the year ended June 30, 2013."

November 20, 2013
Matson and Isom, Certified Public Accountants
INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT

(JULY 1, 2013 - JUNE 30, 2014)

Crowe Horwath, LLP, in the Independent Auditor’s Report received by the YCCD Board of Trustees on December 10, 2014, examined the District’s compliance with the performance requirements for the Proposition 39 and Measure E General Obligation Bonds for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2014. Based on the procedures performed, they found that YCCD had properly accounted for the proceeds and expenditures of Proposition 39 and Measure E General Obligation Funds. A link to the audit reports and financial statements for the YCCD Measure E program, prepared using the guidelines required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) can be found by clicking: http://www.yosemite.edu/fiscalservices/auditreports.htm

FINANCIAL AUDIT

“We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the business-type activities and the fiduciary activities of Yosemite Community College District, and of and for the year ended June 30, 2014, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise Yosemite Community College District’s basic financial statements as listed in the table of contents. Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement. An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor’s judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity’s preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity’s internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements. We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions. In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the business-type activities and the fiduciary activities of Yosemite Community College District, as of June 30, 2014, and the respective changes in its financial position and, where applicable, cash flows thereof for the year then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require that the Management’s Discussion and Analysis on pages to and the Schedule of Other Postemployment Benefits (OPEB) Funding Progress on page be presented to supplement the basic financial statements. Such information, although not a part of the basic financial statements, is required by Governmental Accounting Standards Board who considers it to be an essential part of financial reporting for placing the basic financial statements in an appropriate operational, economic, or historical context. We have applied certain limited procedures to the required supplementary information in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, which consisted of inquiries of management about the methods of preparing the information and comparing the information for consistency with management’s responses to our inquiries, the basic financial statements, and other knowledge we obtained during our audit of the basic financial statements. We do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on the information because the limited procedures do not provide us with sufficient evidence to express an opinion or provide any assurance. Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise Yosemite Community College District’s basic financial statements. The accompanying schedule of expenditure of federal awards as required by U.S. Office of Management and Budget Circular A-133, Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations and other supplementary information listed in the table of contents are presented for purposes of additional analysis and are not a required part of the basic financial statements.

The schedule of expenditure of federal awards and other supplementary information as listed in the table of contents is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements. The schedule of expenditures of federal awards and other supplementary information as listed in the table of contents, except for the Organization, have been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements or to the basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the schedule of expenditures of federal awards and other supplementary information as listed in the table of contents, except for the Organization, are fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the basic financial statements as a whole. The Organization on page 47 has not been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements, and accordingly, we do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on it. In accordance with Government Auditing Standards, we have also issued our report dated on our consideration of Yosemite Community College District’s internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with Government Auditing Standards in considering Yosemite Community College District’s internal control over financial reporting and compliance.”

November 20, 2014
Crowe Horwath, LLP

PERFORMANCE AUDIT

“We have conducted a performance audit of the Yosemite Community College District (the "District"), Measure E General Obligation Bond funds for the year ended June 30, 2014. We conducted our performance audit in accordance with Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence to provide a reasonable basis for our conclusion based on our audit objectives. We believe the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on the audit objectives. Our audit was limited to the objectives listed on page 4 of this report which includes determining the compliance with the performance requirements for the Proposition 39 Measure E General Obligation Bonds under the applicable provisions of Section 1(b)(3)(C) of Article XIIIa of the California Constitution and Proposition 39 as they apply to the bonds and the net proceeds thereof. Management is responsible for Yosemite Community College District’s compliance with those requirements.

Solely to assist us in planning and performing our performance audit, we obtained an understanding of the internal controls of Yosemite Community College District to determine if internal controls were adequate to help ensure the District’s compliance with the requirements of Proposition 39, as specified by Section 1(b)(3)(C) of Article XIIIa of the California Constitution. Accordingly, we do not express any assurance on the internal control. The results of our tests indicated that, in all significant respects, Yosemite Community College District expended Measure E General Obligation Bond funds for the year ended June 30, 2014 only for the specific projects developed by the District’s Governing Board and approved by the voters, in accordance with the requirements of Proposition 39, as specified by Section 1(b)(3)(C) of Article XIIIa of the California Constitution.”

November 20, 2014
Crowe Horwath, LLP
Laurel Building
Child Development Center

This project is a village of five buildings consisting of administration, infant, toddler, preschool, and classroom buildings.

- Architect: Paul Roberts & Partners
- Square Feet: 13,517
- Final Cost: $8,902,268.85

*PROJECT COMPLETE*

Public Safety Center

This project upgrades the existing fire station to provide gender equivalent facilities and incorporates the campus security office.

- Architect: Lionakis
- Square Feet: 6,934
- Final Cost: $2,105,553.18

*PROJECT COMPLETE*

Service Loop

This project provides a public transportation stop on campus and convenient parking area for people with disabilities.

- Architect: Grothe & Smith
- Square Feet: 26,000
- Final Cost: $678,895.49

*PROJECT COMPLETE*

Madrone Modernization

This new building provides an adjacent facility to the existing Madrone shop building. The new facility includes a welding lab and auto shop.

- Architect: Noll & Tam
- Square Feet: 5,035
- Final Cost: $2,922,998.07

*PROJECT COMPLETE*

Sugar Pine Science & Natural Resources Building

This project provides new state of the art science labs and classrooms replacing aging, out of date labs.

- Architect: Lionakis
- Square Feet: 26,445
- Final Cost: $17,743,350.42

*PROJECT COMPLETE*

Manzanita

This project will consist of renovations to the existing Manzanita Building including improvements to Student Services.

- Architect: Lionakis
- Budgeted Cost: $9,235,075.00
- Completion (anticipated): June 2015

STATUS: Construction

*COMPLETE* -"Complete” for facilities refers to “occupancy.” Residual expenses may continue to be associated with projects over time until the project is closed out by Board action.
Columbia College’s share of YCCD Measure E bond funded projects equals $52.495 million.

Some of the highlighted projects are shown below.

**SEQUOIA**

The modernization of the Sequoia Building includes bringing in new technology and remodeling for future general classroom use.

- Architect: Lionakis
- Budgeted Cost: $1,340,480.00

PROJECT COMPLETE

**MANZANITA SECONDARY EFFECTS**

This project will provide funds to areas that are affected by the Manzanita remodel.

- Budgeted Cost: $325,000.00
- Completion (anticipated): Summer 2015

STATUS: In Process

**ROADWAYS PHASE III**

This project will provide upgrades to the existing roads.

- Budgeted Cost: $435,465.00
- Completion (anticipated): Summer 2015

STATUS: Programming

**OUTREACH SITES - CC**

**CALAVERAS EDUCATIONAL SITE**

The District has purchased six acres of land near Angels Camp on Murphy’s Grade Road.

- Amount Budgeted: $4,000,000.00

STATUS: Land Acquired

**OAKDALE EDUCATIONAL SITE**

YCCD continues to respond to opportunities regarding land in Oakdale to expand Columbia College course offerings in the future.

- Amount Budgeted: $1,000,000.00
- Completion (anticipated): TBD

STATUS: In Review

**ROADWAYS PHASE I**

This project provides upgrades to the existing roads.

- Engineer: Associated Engineering Group
- Budgeted Cost: $1,296,593.00

PROJECT COMPLETE

**ROADWAYS PHASE II**

This project will provide upgrades to the existing roads.

- Budgeted Cost: $1,110,632.00
- Completion (anticipated): Summer 2015

STATUS: Construction

*COMPLETE - "Complete" for facilities refers to "occupancy." Residual expenses may continue to be associated with projects over time until the project is closed out by Board action.
ProJeCts - Modesto Junior College

Modesto Junior College's share of YCCD Measure E Bond funded projects equals $220.11 million. Some of the highlighted projects are shown below.

**Auditorium (east)**

With a total of 54,527 sq/ft and 888 seats in the main theater area, the MJC Auditorium accommodates a new theater, radio, television, film and performance spaces equipped with state-of-the-art technology along with additional office spaces for faculty and staff. This newly upgraded facility is known as the Performing and Media Arts Center.

- Architect: Grothe & Smith
- Square Feet: 54,527
- Final Cost: $19,566,199.54 (Measure E Portion)

**Student Services (east)**

The Student Services project creates a “one stop shop” for students to eliminate the need for students to traverse the campus for services.

- Architect: Perkins + Will
- Square Feet: Approximately 26,665
- Budgeted Cost: $14,523,403.00

**Ag Modular Living Units (west)**

This project provides 28 beds in a small scale neighborhood environment for MJC Agriculture students who take care of the animals on campus.

- Architect: LPAS
- Units: 7 units, 28 beds
- Final Cost: $3,149,906.74

**Agriculture Center for Education (west)**

This is a large multipurpose indoor facility with a dirt floor to provide a weather protected environment for education of students focusing on Agriculture related industries including animals and machinery.

- Architect: LPAS
- Square Feet: 75,801
- Final Cost: $16,766,508.00

**Softball Complex (west)**

This project creates a field dedicated to women’s softball on MJC’s West Campus as well as a restroom/concession facility which will serve both softball and soccer.

- Final Cost: $1,531,512.90
  - Softball Field:
    - Architect: TLCD/WMB
  - Restroom/Concession Facility:
    - Architect: Lionakis

Both Projects Complete

**Glacier Hall Allied Health & Life Sciences (west)**

This state of the art facility on MJC’s West Campus houses Nursing and other health related programs, including Redbud renovation at Columbia College.

- Architect: tBP Architects
- Square Feet: 36,480
- Budgeted Cost: $25,360,225.00

*COMPLETE - "Complete” for facilities refers to “occupancy.” Residual expenses may continue to be associated with projects over time until the project is closed out by Board action.*
Some of the highlighted projects are shown below.

**AG Animal Facilities (West)**

This project consists of replacement of aging animal facilities on MJC’s West Campus.

- Architect: LPAS
- Square Feet: 19,400
- Final Cost: $2,017,277.69

**PROJECT COMPLETE**

**Surface Parking Lot (East)**

The YCCD Board of Trustees approved the purchase of land adjacent to existing District property on Tully Road to provide a more immediate solution to the parking congestion on MJC’s East Campus. The new Surface Parking Lot provides MJC with more parking spaces (455 stalls overall) and replaces the originally proposed Parking Structure project, providing parking sooner and at a significant cost savings.

- Engineer: Associated Engineering Group
- Final Cost: $3,828,528.73

**PROJECT COMPLETE**

**Founders Hall Modernization (East)**

The largest classroom building on campus was in need of modernization. This building is structurally sound but the interior finishes were worn and were in need of replacement.

- Architect: Lionakis
- Square Feet: 75,000
- Final Cost: $11,739,920.00

**PROJECT COMPLETE**

**Library/Learning Resources (East)**

The existing library facility had all spaces renovated which included interior finishes as well as providing new electrical infrastructure, new data and new heating, ventilating and air conditioning systems. The exterior of the building included ADA upgrades to meet new code regulations.

- Architect: tBP Architects
- Budgeted Cost: $9,495,145.00

**PROJECT COMPLETE**

**Science Community Center & Great Valley Museum (West)**

The Science project includes a Division Office, Science Labs, Prep Rooms, Lecture Classrooms, and Faculty Offices. The Great Valley Museum (GVM) is included in this project. The GVM incorporates natural history exhibits, a lecture room, a museum store and outdoor exhibits.

- Architect: Lionakis
- Square Feet: Approximately 108,000
- Budgeted Cost: $59,650,000.00

**PROJECT COMPLETE**

**High Tech Center/North Hall (East)**

A modernization of the MJC East Campus Science building will provide new classroom space for computer graphics and other high tech classes.

- Architect: Lionakis
- Square Feet: Approximately 56,000
- Budgeted Cost: $17,750,000.00
- Completion (anticipated): February 2015
- Opening: May 2015

**STATUS: Construction**

*COMPLETE - “Complete” for facilities refers to “occupancy.” Residual expenses may continue to be associated with projects over time until the project is closed out by Board action.*
Modesto Junior College’s share of YCCD Measure E Bond funded projects equals $220.11 million. Some of the highlighted projects are shown below.

**GVM Education Demonstration Center (West)**

The GVM EDC will consist of a 1-2 person open work area, restrooms, vault, storage room, freezer with warehouse storage space which will serve the Great Valley Museum.

- Architect: Lionakis
- Square Footage: 3,282
- Budgeted Cost: $3,165,000.00
- Completion (anticipated): Spring 2015

**STATUS:** Construction

**West Campus Parking Lot**

Construction of the new 172 vehicle stall parking lot with landscaping.

- Engineer: Associated Engineering Group
- Stalls: 172
- Final Cost: $755,109.13

**PROJECT COMPLETE**

**Student Center/Career Transfer (East)**

This project entailed the renovation of a portion of the current student center building into the career center.

- Architect: Lionakis
- Final Cost: $429,158.38

**PROJECT COMPLETE**

**West Campus Roads (Increment II)**

This project will provide upgrades to the existing roads and includes new parking areas, bus turnouts and bike lanes.

- Engineer: Associated Engineering Group
- Lineal Yards: TBD
- Budgeted Cost:
  - Parking Area #1: $2,040,000.00
  - Entry Road Area #2A: $93,000.00
  - Loop Road Area #3: $663,000.00
  - Loop Road Area #4: $476,000.00
  - Loop Road Area #5: $2,778,000.00
  - Loop Road Area #6: $1,235,000.00
- Completion (anticipated):
  - Areas #2A - 6: Winter 2015
  - Parking Area #1: Winter 2016

**STATUS:** Design

**Outreach Sites - MJC**

**Patterson Educational Site**

The District acquired land in the Keystone Business Park.

- Amount Budgeted: $5,037,370.00
- Amount Expended: $1,443,672.46
- Completion (anticipated): TBD

**STATUS:** Land Acquired

**Turlock Educational Site**

YCCD has acquired a parcel of land in Turlock for the future expansion of Modesto Junior College course offerings.

- Final Cost: $1,091,363.25

**PROJECT COMPLETE**

*“COMPLETE” - “Complete” for facilities refers to “occupancy.” Residual expenses may continue to be associated with projects over time until the project is closed out by Board action.*
PROJECTS - CENTRAL SERVICES

Central Services’ share of YCCD Measure E Bond funded projects equals $78.569 million. Some of the highlighted projects are shown on this page.

**CENTRAL SERVICES BUILDING**

This project provides an updated office facility for the YCCD Central Services Offices, replacing the circa 1940s buildings currently in use. The administrative offices located at Central Services support the operations of both colleges within the YCCD, Columbia College and Modesto Junior College.

- Architect: Darden Architects
- Budgeted Cost: $21,000,000.00 (Measure E Portion)
- Completion (anticipated): October 2016

**STATUS:** Design Phase

**SHIPPING AND RECEIVING**

This project provides a new facility for the YCCD Shipping and Receiving Department on the west campus of Modesto Junior College. It replaced a 1948 warehouse used for shipping and receiving.

- Architect: Lionakis
- Budgeted Cost: $5,500,000.00

**PROJECT COMPLETE**

**LOGISTICS CENTER**

This project provides a new office for the facilities operations at Columbia College.

- Architect: Anova Nexus
- Final Cost: $995,896.57

**PROJECT COMPLETE**

**PRIMARY DATA CENTER**

This project provides a new data center for YCCD to replace the existing facility that has over lived its functional use on the west campus of Modesto Junior College.

- Architect: Anova Nexus
- Budgeted Cost: $8,000,000.00

**PROJECT COMPLETE**

**SECONDARY DATA CENTER**

This project provides a data center for YCCD’s and Columbia College’s information technology functions at Columbia College. This is a modernization of the existing Alder Building.

- Architect: Anova Nexus
- Budgeted Cost: $3,500,000.00

**PROJECT COMPLETE**

*COMPLETE - “Complete” for facilities refers to “occupancy.” Residual expenses may continue to be associated with projects over time until the project is closed out by Board action.

For updates on all YCCD Measure E projects throughout the year, you can access the Measure E Bond Information & Updates page website by clicking: [http://www.yosemite.edu/bond/information/default.aspx](http://www.yosemite.edu/bond/information/default.aspx)
ProJeCts - CentrAL serviCes

Central Services’ share of YCCD Measure E Bond funded projects equals $78.569 million. Some of the highlighted projects are shown on this page.

Yosemite CommUnit Y CoLLeCtIon District

On November 2, 2004, voters in the Yosemite Community College District approved a $326 million general obligation bond for the repair, upgrade and new construction of Modesto Junior College and Columbia College facilities and the expansion of college educational sites in Patterson, Oakdale, Turlock and Calaveras County. The Citizens’ Bond Oversight Committee meets quarterly to review and report on the expenditure of tax dollars to ensure that spending is only for described purposes.

For more information, log on to http://www.yosemite.edu/bond
## Measure E Project Status (Budget as of 06/30/14)

### Modesto Junior College:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Budget</th>
<th>Expenditures</th>
<th>Construction Start</th>
<th>Completion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Auditorium</td>
<td>$19,566,199.54</td>
<td>$19,566,199.54</td>
<td>October 2006</td>
<td>COMPLETE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ag-Modular Living Units</td>
<td>$3,149,906.74</td>
<td>$3,149,906.74</td>
<td>June 2009</td>
<td>COMPLETE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ag-Animal Facilities Renovation</td>
<td>$2,017,277.69</td>
<td>$2,017,277.69</td>
<td>September 2009</td>
<td>COMPLETE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ag Multipurpose Pavilion Facility</td>
<td>$16,766,508.00</td>
<td>$16,701,861.82</td>
<td>September 2009</td>
<td>COMPLETE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allied Health Life Sciences</td>
<td>$25,360,225.00</td>
<td>$25,015,483.65</td>
<td>August 2009</td>
<td>COMPLETE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Art Building (from MJC Contingency)</td>
<td>$579,379.00</td>
<td>$579,379.00</td>
<td>May 2011</td>
<td>COMPLETE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Campus Way Parking Lot</td>
<td>$1,300,480.29</td>
<td>$1,300,480.29</td>
<td>June 2011</td>
<td>COMPLETE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Founders Hall Modernization</td>
<td>$11,739,920.00</td>
<td>$11,739,920.00</td>
<td>October 2010</td>
<td>COMPLETE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High Tech Center</td>
<td>$17,750,000.00</td>
<td>$8,867,038.70</td>
<td>August 2013 (est)</td>
<td>February 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interim Housing</td>
<td>$3,000,000.00</td>
<td>$2,674,426.52</td>
<td>April 2010</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Library &amp; Learning Resources Center</td>
<td>$9,495,145.00</td>
<td>$9,007,642.27</td>
<td>November 2012 (est)</td>
<td>COMPLETE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loop Road (Increment I)</td>
<td>$4,480,122.00</td>
<td>$3,707,034.52</td>
<td>December 2009</td>
<td>COMPLETE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utility Infrastructure (Increment II)</td>
<td>$4,480,122.00</td>
<td>$3,666,707.48</td>
<td>April 2011</td>
<td>COMPLETE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parking Structure/Lot</td>
<td>$3,828,528.73</td>
<td>$3,828,528.73</td>
<td>December 2008</td>
<td>COMPLETE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patterson Educational Site</td>
<td>$5,037,370.00</td>
<td>$1,443,672.46</td>
<td>Spring 2013 (est)</td>
<td>Land Acquired</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Science Community Center</td>
<td>$59,650,000.00</td>
<td>$58,861,382.13</td>
<td>June 2010</td>
<td>COMPLETE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GVM Education Demonstration Center</td>
<td>$3,165,000.00</td>
<td>$272,009.57</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Science &amp; Natural Resources Building</td>
<td>$17,900,000.00</td>
<td>$17,743,350.42</td>
<td>September 2009</td>
<td>COMPLETE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roadways Phase I</td>
<td>$1,296,593.00</td>
<td>$711,929.34</td>
<td>Summer 2013</td>
<td>COMPLETE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roadways Phase II</td>
<td>$1,110,632.00</td>
<td>$305,739.79</td>
<td>Summer 2014</td>
<td>Summer 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roadways Phase III</td>
<td>$435,465.00</td>
<td>$29,259.09</td>
<td>Summer 2015</td>
<td>Summer 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Science &amp; Natural Resources Building</td>
<td>$17,900,000.00</td>
<td>$17,743,350.42</td>
<td>September 2009</td>
<td>COMPLETE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary Access Road</td>
<td>$520,163.48</td>
<td>$520,163.48</td>
<td>January 2006</td>
<td>COMPLETE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sequoia Modernization</td>
<td>$1,340,480.00</td>
<td>$1,168,899.43</td>
<td>Summer 2013</td>
<td>COMPLETE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swing Space</td>
<td>$125,000.00</td>
<td>$117,447.06</td>
<td>Fall 2013</td>
<td>COMPLETE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MJC College Contingency</td>
<td>$1,709,790.98</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SUBTOTAL - Modesto Junior College:** $220,110,000.00 | $188,533,770.95

### Columbia College:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Budget</th>
<th>Expenditures</th>
<th>Construction Start</th>
<th>Completion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bus Service Loop/Disabled Parking Lot</td>
<td>$678,895.49</td>
<td>$678,895.49</td>
<td>May 2006</td>
<td>COMPLETE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calaveras Educational Site</td>
<td>$4,000,000.00</td>
<td>$1,230,750.71</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>Land Acquired</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child Development Center</td>
<td>$8,902,268.85</td>
<td>$8,902,268.85</td>
<td>December 2008</td>
<td>COMPLETE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Madrone Building Modernization</td>
<td>$2,922,998.07</td>
<td>$2,922,998.07</td>
<td>July 2008</td>
<td>COMPLETE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manzanita Building</td>
<td>$9,235,075.00</td>
<td>$2,886,708.44</td>
<td>May 2014 (est)</td>
<td>June 2015 (est)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manzanita Secondary Effects</td>
<td>$325,000.00</td>
<td>$179,577.88</td>
<td>Fall 2013</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oakdale Educational Site</td>
<td>$1,000,000.00</td>
<td>$43,576.64</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>COMPLETE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parking Lots</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>COMPLETE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Safety Center</td>
<td>$2,105,553.18</td>
<td>$2,105,553.18</td>
<td>April 2008</td>
<td>COMPLETE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roadways Phase I</td>
<td>$1,296,593.00</td>
<td>$711,929.34</td>
<td>Summer 2013</td>
<td>COMPLETE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roadways Phase II</td>
<td>$1,110,632.00</td>
<td>$305,739.79</td>
<td>Summer 2014</td>
<td>Summer 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roadways Phase III</td>
<td>$435,465.00</td>
<td>$29,259.09</td>
<td>Summer 2015</td>
<td>Summer 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Science &amp; Natural Resources Building</td>
<td>$17,900,000.00</td>
<td>$17,743,350.42</td>
<td>September 2009</td>
<td>COMPLETE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary Access Road</td>
<td>$520,163.48</td>
<td>$520,163.48</td>
<td>January 2006</td>
<td>COMPLETE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sequoia Modernization</td>
<td>$1,340,480.00</td>
<td>$1,168,899.43</td>
<td>Summer 2013</td>
<td>COMPLETE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swing Space</td>
<td>$125,000.00</td>
<td>$117,447.06</td>
<td>Fall 2013</td>
<td>COMPLETE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MJC College Contingency</td>
<td>$1,709,790.98</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SUBTOTAL - Columbia College:** $52,495,000.00 | $39,547,117.87

---

**Note:** Budget continued on page 13.
### MEASURE E PROJECT STATUS CONTINUED (Budget as of 06/30/14)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Budget</th>
<th>Expenditures</th>
<th>Construction Start</th>
<th>Completion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ag Trailers</td>
<td>$65,876.48</td>
<td>$65,876.48</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>COMPLETE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Art Building</td>
<td>$600,000.00</td>
<td>$585,841.46</td>
<td>July 2011</td>
<td>COMPLETE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Outlay Debt Service</td>
<td>$14,435,000.00</td>
<td>$14,435,000.00</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>COMPLETE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Services (CS) Buildings</td>
<td>$21,000,000.00</td>
<td>$1,297,586.85</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>October 2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Services Master Plan</td>
<td>$82,500.00</td>
<td>$82,500.00</td>
<td>June 2011</td>
<td>COMPLETE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DTSC</td>
<td>$1,300,000.00</td>
<td>$1,046,873.47</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Logistics Center</td>
<td>$995,896.57</td>
<td>$995,896.57</td>
<td>May 2011</td>
<td>COMPLETE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patterson Planning</td>
<td>$1,093,484.00</td>
<td>$855,409.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>COMPLETE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary Data Center</td>
<td>$8,000,000.00</td>
<td>$5,770,589.96</td>
<td>August 2012</td>
<td>COMPLETE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scheduled Maintenance</td>
<td>$10,000,000.00</td>
<td>$10,000,000.00</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>COMPLETE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary Data Center</td>
<td>$3,500,000.00</td>
<td>$3,153,743.81</td>
<td>April 2013</td>
<td>COMPLETE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shipping &amp; Receiving</td>
<td>$5,500,000.00</td>
<td>$3,868,840.63</td>
<td>July 2012</td>
<td>COMPLETE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technology Infrastructure</td>
<td>$10,000,000.00</td>
<td>$9,847,929.60</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Services Contingency</td>
<td>$1,996,242.95</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SUBTOTAL - Central Services** $78,569,000.00 $52,006,087.83

**TOTAL MEASURE E PROJECTS** $351,174,000.00 $280,086,976.65

(includes $25,000,000 investment income)

**COMPLETED** - “Complete” for facilities refers to “occupancy.” Residual expenses may continue to be associated with projects over time until the project is closed out by Board action.

1 Board Approved revised budget at February 2014 meeting
2 Board Approved revised budget at June 2014 meeting
3 Board Approved revised budget at October 2013 meeting
On November 7, 2000, California voters approved Proposition 39, which allowed community college districts to pass bonded indebtedness with a 55% majority vote of its constituents, versus the 2/3 voter approval, to pay for facilities projects.

In August of 2002, the Yosemite Community College District (YCCD) Board of Trustees commissioned the development of a Facilities Master Plan (FMP).

Building inventory was reviewed, data was gathered, the Community was polled, and forums were conducted. This resulted in 500 project proposals to see the campuses through the next 20 years. The Board of Trustees adopted the Facilities Master Plan in February 2004.

Based on an analysis of our voters and bonding capacity, the Board of Trustees approved a $326.1 million general obligation bond for the November 2004 ballot for selected FMP projects. The projects to be funded by Measure E were prioritized from the FMP.

On November 2, 2004, voters in the YCCD approved a $326.1 million general obligation bond (under Proposition 39 rules) for the repair, upgrade and new construction of Modesto Junior College and Columbia College facilities and the expansion of college education centers. The bond funds are to be used to improve campus safety, renovate classrooms, laboratories and career/technology centers, improve technology infrastructure and repair overcrowded and deteriorating buildings. YCCD received 60.8% of the vote, well over the required 55%.

Interviews for Program Management services took place in February 2005. Out of nine requests for qualifications submitted, four were invited to be interviewed.

YCCD bonds were favorably rated by Standard & Poor’s and Moody’s bond rating agencies.

At their March 9, 2005 Board meeting, the YCCD Board of Trustees appointed its initial members to the first Citizens’ Bond Oversight Committee.

On April 19, 2005, the first Bond Issuance of $94,445,000 was sold the same day they became available for sale, due to their favorable interest rate as compared to other public bond agency sales.

In June, 2005, YCCD selected Kitchell, CEM to be the program management firm for the $326.1 million construction and renovation plan.

A Request for Qualifications for Architectural and Planning services was issued in October to over 100 firms. YCCD received 51 proposals, and a pool of 13 Architectural firms were selected in December.

On February 15, 2006, the Board approved the Program Management Plan recommended by Kitchell.

The second Bond Issuance of $150,000,000 was sold on March 19, 2008.

The third Bond Issuance of $81,728,990 was sold on June 2, 2010.

The 2012 Bond Issuance of $59,205,000 refunded $57,150,000 of the 2005 Series B Bonds. This reduced the District’s obligation by $4,528,621.

The following Measure E projects were either completed or scheduled to be completed by the end of 2014:

COLUMBIA COLLEGE
- Manzanita Secondary Effects
- Roadways Phase II
- Swing Space
- Water Tank Replacement

CENTRAL SERVICES
- Secondary Data Center

The District is in compliance with the requirements of Article XIIIA, Section 1(b)(3) of the California Constitution.
FREQUENTLY ASKED QUESTIONS

Q: What is the difference between "soft costs" and "hard costs"?

A: Traditionally in the construction industry total project costs are divided into two major categories: Hard Costs and Soft Costs. The Hard Costs are the costs that are directly attributable to a physical asset. Concrete, steel, windows, doors, roofing, etc. Furniture, fixtures, and equipment are also Hard Costs in a total project budget. The total cost of construction activities is a Hard Cost. The trade labor is a Hard Cost of construction because the labor to fabricate and erect the steel become part of the cost of the steel.

The Soft Costs are costs related to fees and services needed to build the building. Architectural fees, engineering fees, inspection fees, plan check fees, geotechnical engineering, civil engineering, construction management, and testing are examples of construction Soft Costs. The total project cost would be the sum of the Hard Costs and the Soft Costs. Soft Costs vary depending on the project and project "type." We generally expect to see Soft Costs in the 16-25% range of total project cost.

Q: What were the requirements of the Prop 39 Bond?

A: Measure E was a Proposition 39 bond, requiring 55% voter approval (requiring the district to agree to a number of conditions prior to its passage).

Three conditions worth noting are:

1) The District was to establish a citizen’s bond oversight committee.
2) The District was to be more specific with the bond projects as they approached voters when requesting an election.
3) The District was to subject itself to annual/independent performance and financial audits, that are part of the Prop 39 requirements, separate from the normal end-of-the-year audits.

Q: What are the District’s "Scheduled Maintenance" plans?

A: Each fiscal year YCCD submits a 5-Year Scheduled Maintenance plan to the State Chancellor’s Office subsequent to the approval of the District’s Board of Trustees. Scheduled Maintenance Projects are identified by the Facilities Operation Office working with the Colleges and Central Office. The projects are prioritized by critical needs and anticipated needs in the areas of roof, mechanical, utility, exterior and other categories which include sidewalks repair, lock replacement and rekeying. Measure E dollars are utilized along with State funding when available to meet the Districts needs identified in the 5-Year prioritized Scheduled Maintenance plan.

Q: Who handles the auditing of the bonds?

A: Annual independent audits are conducted for the Yosemite Community College District by qualified external auditors. The annual audits include an audit of the Measure E bond funds. While Matsom and Isom conducted the audits for a number of years, during the 2011-2012 fiscal year audit, the District requested a rotation of audit management staff. Also, during the same year, Matsom and Isom merged with another accounting firm which enhanced their staff with additional expertise in community college auditing. Further, in 2013-2014 the District received proposals and contracted with another firm, Crowe Horwath, who conducted the most recent independent audit for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2014.

Included in this annual report, are the independent auditors’ report statements for the years ending June 30, 2013 and June 30, 2014. It was the decision of the CBOC to produce this annual report in December 2014 so that the most recent audit report (ending June 30, 2014) would be available and included to more closely align with the project status update period.
Q: What cost saving measures has the District taken to maximize bond proceeds?

A: There are two methods that the District uses to maximize the bond proceeds. These methods include Value Engineering and Public Bid Process.

Every major project goes through a Value Engineering process to ensure that the District is getting the best value for the money being spent. This value engineering process includes the District staff, Kitchell, the architect, mechanical engineer, civil engineer, structural engineer, landscape architect, and electrical engineer. They spend a day and sometimes two days reviewing all the aspects of the project finding ways to reduce costs and optimize the allocated budget amount.

Projects are awarded using the Public Bid Process. The Public Bid Process ensures that the lowest bidder on the project is the one awarded the project. This kind of competition forces the General Contractors to submit the best prices on the proposed work thus ensuring that the Bond money is maximized.

Q: What will the District do with it's investment earnings?

A: Interest earned on Measure E bond proceeds not subject to IRS rebate have grown to $20,000,000. The District’s Board of Trustees approved amending the Measure E Central Services project budgets to include the $20,000,000. The District is researching the possibility of using the additional funds for solar projects, data center construction, and a central office building.

Q: What is the “total payback” of the bonds?

A: The “total payback” is shown in the table below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Principal</th>
<th>Interest</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2005 Series</td>
<td>$ 94,445,000</td>
<td>$ 69,956,722</td>
<td>$ 164,401,722</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*2005 (Refunded)</td>
<td>$(57,150,000)</td>
<td>$(30,809,250)</td>
<td>$(87,959,250)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008 Series</td>
<td>$ 150,000,000</td>
<td>$ 161,090,028</td>
<td>$ 311,090,028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010 Series</td>
<td>$ 81,728,990</td>
<td>$ 377,052,234</td>
<td>$ 458,781,224</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*2012 Series</td>
<td>$ 59,205,000</td>
<td>$ 24,225,629</td>
<td>$ 83,430,629</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$ 328,228,990</td>
<td>$ 601,515,363</td>
<td>$ 929,744,353</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*In 2012, the District refunded $57.15 million with the 2012 Series. The 2012 Series Bonds were issued at a lower interest rate in the amount of $59.205 million to cover the principal balance on the 2005 Bonds plus accrued interest on those bonds. The net savings to the District’s taxpayers equals $4,528,621 (gross interest savings of $6,583,621 less increased principal of $2,050,000).
Modesto Junior College (MJC) and Columbia College, both within the Yosemite Community College District (YCCD), continue to expand access to educational opportunities in outreach areas. MJC is leading the efforts in Turlock and the Patterson West Side area, while Columbia College has assumed that role in Oakdale and Calaveras County.

In 2004, voters within the Yosemite Community College District approved a $326 million general obligation bond measure. “Measure E” dollars are designated for the repair, upgrade and new construction of MJC and Columbia College facilities and to increase access to “educational opportunities.” Projects were identified and prioritized in the Yosemite Community College District Facilities Master Plan, dated February 11, 2004 and updated on June 9, 2004, and were the basis for the Measure E ballot proposition.

Among the projects in the Measure E ballot proposition, a stated need was “establishing new and expanded college education centers in Turlock, Oakdale, Patterson and Calaveras County, and acquire land.” Measure E bond language also stated, “In the event of an unexpected slowdown in development or enrollment of students at the college, certain of the projects described above will be delayed or may not be completed.” Furthermore, “The budget for each project is an estimate and may be affected by factors beyond the District’s control.”

Unfortunately, since 2004, circumstances beyond our control have drastically changed. California has suffered the worst economic downturn since the depression, state funding was slashed at a time when demand for classes was on the rise, which created a decline in enrollments in many of our service areas and, most importantly, the state drastically changed its funding formula and other requirements for the centers we had planned in Turlock, Oakdale, West Side/Patterson and Calaveras County. It was the perfect storm. With the passage of SB 361 in 2006, the number of full-time equivalent students (FTES) needed to designate an education center was significantly raised, from 250 to 1,000. Now, a center must also be fully organized to include administrative and support services, such as library resources, counselors, and admission and records, leading to additional on-going operating costs not covered by bond proceeds. With college and K-12 enrollments in many of our service areas having declining, even if the number remained at 250 FTES, education centers in these areas would still not be possible because the number of students required are not there. And, without reaching “center” status, the colleges would not receive the added funding necessary to open and run a center under current mandates over the long-term.

Funding changes were also needed to complete some existing projects and re-prioritize others, such as roadways and parking, which were escalated based on engineers’ assessments recommending immediate repair. Furthermore, the $1M allocated for the Turlock project was just enough to purchase land. Of the $5M set aside in Patterson, $1.5M was used for land acquisition with $3.5M remaining, which would not even cover half the cost of constructing a facility that could accommodate a center generating 1,000 FTES. Some of the initial funding for the Calaveras County project was redirected to address unmet Measure E needs at Columbia College. And, numerous attempts have been made to purchase land in Oakdale. However, costs to acquire any parcel feasible for development of an educational site are well above the $1M allocated to the Oakdale project.

Consequently, changes in the economy, the state budget, and costs, in terms of building, energy, and operating, have affected our “method” of delivering increased opportunity in the outreach areas, but not our commitment. At this time, MJC and Columbia College are using a fresh approach to honor our commitment of increasing access to educational opportunities and addressing the need to best serve our communities. Both colleges are looking toward partnerships to leverage resources and expand access. Several opportunities are being explored, while others are already in place. Among these are the potential to utilize unused and underused spaces in existing partnership sites, such as with the county offices of education in the respective areas. For example, in Patterson, classes are being offered at the Stanislaus Office of Education Building on Walnut Street and at Patterson High School. Similarly, courses are offered in Turlock on the Pitman High School campus. In Calaveras, Columbia College is developing a partnership agreement with the Bret Harte Union High School District for use of a school site in Vallecito and, in Oakdale, a variety of classes are being offered at the Stanislaus Office of Education Culinary Arts Institute and at Oakdale High School. Therefore, instead of increasing access to educational opportunities by way of construction or acquiring facilities, we intend to do so more efficiently and effectively by partnering with local agencies to expand offerings and college presence in these areas. As a result, we will be in a better position to offer more to our outlying communities in the short term by maximizing technological delivery of courses and partnerships with local offices of education, AND in the long term, by acquiring strategically located sites for future expansion.

That being said, the land that has been acquired in Turlock, Patterson, and Calaveras will be held for future long-term expansion when the economy fully recovers, enrollments increase, and state budget dollars become available.

In the meantime, future long-term expansion in outreach areas must be made in alignment with community needs. Opportunities to provide educational access, service, and offerings must continue to be explored through partnerships, concurrent enrollment, distance education, and other efficient and effective use of resources. Regardless of how we do it, the colleges of the Yosemite Community College District are committed to serving our communities in a way that continues to be fiscally responsible and best meets the educational needs of our students.
SUMMARY OF CBOC DUTIES

• **Informs the Public**
The CBOC informs the public concerning the District’s expenditure of bond proceeds.

• **Review Expenditures**
The CBOC may review quarterly expenditure reports produced by the District to ensure that (a) bond proceeds were expended only for the purposes set forth in the Measure E; and (b) no bond proceeds were used for any teacher or administrative salaries or other operating expenses.

• **Annual Report**
The CBOC presents to the YCCD Board, in public session, an annual report which includes a statement indicating whether the District is in compliance with the requirements of Article XIII A, Section 1(b)(3) of the California Constitution; and a summary of the CBOC’s proceedings and activities for the preceding year.

In order to perform the duties set forth in the CBOC Bylaws, the CBOC may also engage in the following activities:

- **Receive and review** copies of the District’s annual independent performance audit and annual independent financial audit, required by Article XIII A of the California Constitution.

- **Inspect** college facilities and grounds for which bond proceeds have or will be expended, in accordance with any access procedure established by the Chancellor.

- **Review** copies of deferred maintenance proposal or plans developed by the District.

- **Review** efforts by the District to maximize bond proceeds by implementing various cost-saving measures.

CBOC MEETINGS

CBOC Members (left to right): Paul Neumann, Barbara Frazer, Frank “Del” Hodges, Jeff Phillips, Matthew Rolicheck, Thomas Solomon, and Larry McElhaney.

**MEASURE E BOND PROGRAM INFORMATION IS READILY AVAILABLE**
The community is invited to attend the CBOC’s quarterly meetings. Check the web address below for meeting time, date, location, or to submit comments or questions to the oversight committee or call (209) 575-6509.

If you are interested in serving on the YCCD Citizens’ Bond Oversight Committee, or for more information regarding the Measure E Bond, including project details, agendas, minutes, and CBOC information, please visit our website at: [http://www.yosemite.edu/bond/](http://www.yosemite.edu/bond/)

Recognition and Thanks go to past CBOC Members whose terms ended in 2014: Verle Bartels, Gordon R. Molloy, and Taelorae Levell.