Modesto Junior College

Facilities Committee

February 8, 2008

Agriculture Conference Room

Present: Tobin Clarke, Judith Lanning, Bob Nadell, Martha Robles, Rich Rose, Elaine Schuber, Brian Sinclair, Mike Sundquist, Barbara Wells, Ken White, John Zamora

Meeting summary of December 14, 2007 was approved.

Project Updates:

- <u>Founder's Hall (Ken White)</u> No report. The committee has not met and there have been no meetings scheduled. One of the main issues discussed at previous meetings was swing space.
- <u>Performing and Media Arts Center (Mike Sundquist)</u> The project is on budget and on-time. The target date is end of April 2008. The projected move-in date is June 1, 2008. Contingency funds will be spent on new seating for both the Little Theatre and the Auditorium. The entire building will be renovated to match the lobby which includes the floors, ceilings, and walls before the move-in date. The details on the new television and radio recording equipment lists have been completed; this should be going to bid soon. The plan is to have the equipment installed over the summer. Institute Day (fall) is expected to be held in the new Performing and Media Arts Center. For the remainder of the week, the center will be open to the public. This is the 50th anniversary of the original opening of the auditorium in 1958. The division is also planning to visit local high schools to let juniors and seniors about the opening and the new instructional spaces.
- <u>Allied Health (Elaine Schuber)</u> The project is progressing and has completed 50 percent of the design phase. The committee met with SME (Science, Math, and Engineering) which was beneficial in that both architects communicate with Kitchell. The Allied Health architect suggested that both divisions include a "central plant" which would allow both buildings to use the same boilers and coolers and save them money.
- <u>Library (Tobin Clarke)</u> The committee is planning meetings with three architectural firms that have been authorized to do work on campus. The plan is to name an architect by the end of June 2008.
- <u>High Tech Center (John Zamora & Brian Sinclair)</u> There is some concern with the members of the committee the project is moving to the bottom of the list.

Ken White stated that he believes that the members of the high tech project are also involved in Founder's Hall. Rich Rose reported to committee members he met with Kitchell at the regular administrative facilities meeting and believes the Founder's and High Tech committees will be meeting in the near future. What Kitchell would like to do is hire one architect for both projects because of the overlap. Rich advised staff to call Mark Newton at Kitchell for more details. Ken stated that he believes Mark Newton needs to contact the committee to coordinate a meeting.

Action

Rich will send an e-mail to all of the Deans and Mark Newton acknowledging what the Facilities Committee discussed and ask him to move forward and coordinate a meeting as soon as possible.

NON-AGENDA ITEM:

The committee agreed that the current diagrams and maps that are posted around campus should be removed.

Action

Bob Nadell will contact the appropriate personnel to have the diagrams, etc., removed.

- <u>Science, Math, and Engineering (Community Center)</u> Judith Lanning reported on behalf of the committee because the committee is meeting with the architect at the same time this meeting was scheduled. They are on budget and are ahead of schedule. They are on schedule because so much of the work has been completed ahead of time by faculty members involved. Since the program has been on-going for 3-4 years, and a lot of the programming had already been completed before the architects came on board. The overall budget did not changed, but some of the FFE budget was moved into the construction budget. The committee meets more than once a week. Judith believes that they will be ahead of schedule (before the projected date Kitchell provided). Judith encouraged staff to contact the chair (Professor Kenneth Meidl).
- <u>Student Services</u> Bob reported there is a lot of conversation regarding the Student Services "site" and where the building will be built and the instructions that were passed on to the architectural team (Perkins & Will). The committee examined numerous sites on the east campus. The committee examined basically what happens to a student when they arrive on campus and the services that they require when they are ready to move out of the institution. There was an open forum held on Monday to discuss the Student Services project. Perkins & Will facilitated the meeting and shared the different options that were examined. As a result of this forum, Bob met with student leadership and there will be another

open forum for students (Thursday, February 14) in the afternoon. The students have a resolution of non-support that was shared with their governing body (ICC) this week. This will be brought back to their next meeting (February 19). AS will also be sending out a request for faculty to distribute the information to students in their classrooms. A flayer will also be emailed to student e-mail accounts. The project is on hold at the present time. The need for a one-stop concept would have cost about \$24 million; therefore, the idea of this concept was quickly abandoned and focus on a central philosophy within the central core of the campus was adopted. Some members of the committee did agree that the architects presenting the options had explored other options and explained why the committee moved on to the option that was finally moved forward. It was agreed that the recommended location of the new student services building was the best location of all the options.

2. <u>Faculty Resolution: Re-establishing the Measure E Coordinating</u> <u>Committee</u>

Members discussed the important of communication and access to the various projects. This would be critical for no only the campus community, but the community as a whole. Bob asked committee members their input on making a recommendation to Dr. Rose that was reflective of the Academic Senate resolution to reconvene the Measure E Coordinating Committee.

Mike Sundquist agreed to support the committee, as long as it is defined and the members.

The committee agreed unanimously that all members of the various projects should be identified and communicated campus wide. It was also agreed that the minutes and notes that are distributed by the designated architects to include all the project committee members. The Campus Development Committee and its charge is still not clear to many. Bob stated that Dr. Rose will communicate their charge. Members asked for an outline of the recommendation before it goes forward to Dr. Rose.

Action

Bob will send a draft before submission.

3. <u>Design Guidelines</u>

There needs to be design guidelines to provide to the various projects. Matt Kennedy offered to assist in the process of drafting some guidelines. The committee agreed to have guidelines to create consistency. Matt will be invited to the next committee meeting, scheduled for March 14, 2008. Bob will draft a recommendation that he will e-mail to committee members for review and recommendations. Bob will present the recommendations to the President's Cabinet and College Council

4. <u>Other</u>

Next meeting:

When:	March 14, 2008
Where:	Agriculture Conference Room
Time:	10:00 a.m. to 11:00 a.m.